

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,200	03/30/2007	Jurgen Dorn	14673-037 US NAT'L	2020
61263 PROSKAUER	7590 03/30/2005 ROSELLP	EXAMINER		
1001 PENNS	LVANIA AVE, N.W.,	MENDOZA, MICHAEL G		
SUITE 400 SO WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3734	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/580,200	DORN, JURGEN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MICHAEL G. MENDOZA	3734	

Office Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	MICHAEL G. MENDOZA	3734						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress					
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  - Extensions of time the provisions of 57 CFR 1.13  - Failure to reply within the set of restanded pended for reply will. by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing aemed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin  till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 M	av 2006							
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement							
and subject to restriction under	olodion requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.      Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
i i								
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)    Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	<li>4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da</li>							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946)	5) Notice of Informal P							

- Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/DE)
   Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/30/2007.
- \_\_\_\_
- 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/580,200 Page 2

Art Unit: 3734

### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-15, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by St. Germain et al. 5534007 (St. Germain).
- 3. St. Germain teaches a catheter device comprising: a shaft (15), a medical implant (35), a guidewire (20), a flushing lumen, a proximal guidewire exit port (see fig. 8); a sheath (40) that lies radially outside the medical implant in the catheter that is proximally withdrawn form the medical implant, a first shaft element including at least one of a pull wire (45) and a rod to pull the sheath proximally; and a second shaft element including a pusher tube (155), wherein the sheath comprises a tapered distal tip (25), wherein the pull wire (45) is coupled to the sheath by an inner pull ring (55) located radially inside the sheath (40), the pull wire and pull ring being both of metal and with a metal bond between the pull wire and the pull ring (col. 4, lines 24-33), wherein the sheath is polymeric and carries within its wall thickness a braid of metallic filaments (col. 3, lines 39-42), wherein the pusher tube comprises a distal end to which is fix side-by-side, the proximal end of a pusher-guider tube the defines a lumen for the guidewire (see figs. 6-8); wherein the pusher-guider tube comprises a spiral metal filament (col. 3, lines 8-17) that carries a stopper ring (60), wherein the second shaft element include the

Application/Control Number: 10/580,200

Art Unit: 3734

pusher tube, the pusher-guider tube and the stopper ring (see figs. 6-8), a flushing sleeve (50) that is contiguous with the sheath and defines a lumen that contains the pusher tube (see figs.), a guider block (100) that has a cylindrical outside surface to receive the flushing sleeve, a guidewire lumen, and a lumen side-by-side with the guidewire lumen to receive the pusher tube (figs. 1-5), the flushing sleeve distal of the guider-block includes a bellows tube (see compression of 50 in figs. 1-3), wherein the flushing sleeve distal of the guider block includes a telescopic tube (see compression of 50 in figs. 1-3), wherein the guide block is fixed against axial movement, wherein the proximal guidewire exit port (120 can also be used as a guidewire exit port) is immediately proximal of the guider block, wherein the medical implant devices comprises one of a self-expanding stent (35), a stent, a stent graph, and a filter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 4, 9, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over St. Germain et al.
- 6. As to claim 4, St. Germain teaches the claimed invention except for an outer puller ring. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use more than one puller ring, since it has been held that

Application/Control Number: 10/580.200

Art Unit: 3734

mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Reais Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.. 193 USPQ 8.

Page 4

7. As to claim 9, St. Germain teaches the claimed invention except for wherein the pusher tube and the pusher guide tube are separate and held side-by-side by an adaptor block. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the pusher tube and pusher guide tube separate, since it has been held that constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art. Nerwin v. Erlichman, 168 USPQ 177, 179. And furthermore, parts 140, 60, or 25 are capable of acting as an adaptor block.

- 8. As to claims 16, St. Germain teaches the claimed invention except for the claimed length of around 75 cm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the claimed length, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.
- Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over St. Germain et al. in view of Sullivan et al. 6514196.
- St. Germain teaches the catheter as claimed in claim 15. It should be noted that
   Germain fails to teach a steering tube.
- 11. Sullivan teaches a catheter that is combined with a common steering tube (col. 5, lines 5-17). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 3734

to modify the catheter of St. Germain in view of Sullivan to help steer, push, or twist the device to a desired location.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL G. MENDOZA whose telephone number is (571)272-4698. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Todd Manahan can be reached on (571) 272-4713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,200 Page 6

Art Unit: 3734

/Todd E Manahan/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3734